

**Table 2**

**CANDIDATES AT BOROUGH ELECTIONS**

	<b>C</b>	<b>Lab</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>RA</b>	<b>Com</b>	<b>NF</b>	<b>UM</b>	<b>HYM</b>	<b>Oth</b>	<b>Total</b>
1964	56	56	50	-	6	-	-	-	-	168
1968	56	56	41	1	6	1	3	-	-	164
1971	56	56	38	2	6	-	1	4	-	163
1974	53	56	53	3	4	4	-	-	3	176

The number of women candidates was as follows:

1964: 9 C, 8 Lab, 9 L; Total 26.

1968: 9 C, 11 Lab, 13 L, 1 Com; Total 34.

1971: 14 C, 14 Lab, 9 L, 2 HYM; Total 39.

1974: 10 C, 12 Lab, 12 L, 1 NF; Total 35.

**Table 3**

**VOTES CAST AT BOROUGH ELECTIONS**

These figures are presented in two ways. First, as "Raw Data", where all votes are summed, irrespective of the number of Councillors to be elected per ward and the number of candidates nominated per Party. Second, as "Averaged Data", where only the average vote per Party per ward is used. This method avoids, to some extent, distortions arising from the fact that wards elected three, four, or five Councillors.

**Raw Data:**

	<b>C</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Lab</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Oth*</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Total</b>
1964	120,824	50.7	75,666	31.7	41,144	17.3	786	0.3	238,420
1968	156,489	67.8	43,057	18.7	27,824	12.1	3,281	1.4	230,651
1971	112,842	47.7	93,175	39.4	25,537	10.8	5,117	2.1	236,671
1974	133,923	51.9	67,452	26.2	46,142	17.9	10,394	4.0	257,911

\* Comprising:-

1964: Com 786.

1968: RA 1,521; Com 913; UM 519; NF 329.

1971: RA 3,964; HYM 491; Com 478; UM 184.

1974: RA 7,541; Ind Lab 963; NF 881; Ind C 690; Com 319.

**Averaged Data:**

	<b>C</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Lab</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Oth*</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Total</b>
1964	30,296	48.6	20,565	33.0	10,624	17.1	786	1.3	62,271
1968	39,571	63.7	11,759	18.9	7,498	12.1	3,281	5.3	62,109
1971	28,150	42.3	25,028	37.6	8,254	12.4	5,117	7.7	66,549
1974	34,637	47.6	18,251	25.1	13,027	17.9	6,837	9.4	72,752

\* Comprising:-

1964: Com 786.

1968: RA 1,521; Com 913; UM 519; NF 329.

1971: RA 3,964; HYM 491; Com 478; UM 184.

1974: RA 5,126; Ind C 690; Ind Lab 482; Com 319; NF 220.